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**Measuring the acoustic features of Smiled Speech vs Neutral Speech**

## **Initial idea**

The initial proposal was to build a supervised machine learning classifier using data from previous research. This programme would take in an object and use a series of parameters such as acoustic measurement values (e.g. 300Hz) to classify this object as “smile” or “neutral”. This sort of measurement and classification has already been done. Based on research papers I had read, I expected to be able to obtain data that had been already formatted into a usable database. I asked the authors of several projects and corpora to share their data with me. I expected to get a spreadsheet with data in the following format or similar:

1. ‘vowel, duration, f0, f1, f2, f3, label’

After enquiring, the data that was available to me was in a completely different format. I was able to get a corpus of audio files and corresponding text files containing timestamps for each phoneme. Files where organised in directories but not labelled individually. Given that the data available was so different from my expectations, I had four options:

1. *New course of action*
2. Do every measure myself manually for every vowel, build the dataset, then continue with the project as planned.
3. Build a more complex model that works directly on audio files.
4. Switch the main objective of this project from the classification to the data extraction and preparation process, and develop a programme that can create a database of objects like the one described in (1).
5. Find a completely new project.

Options (a) and (b) were discarded because they are outside of the scope of this assignment; they would have required more time, resources, and skill than this project called for. I did not choose option (d) as this topic (i.e. the distinction between smiled and non-smiled speech) is very interesting to me. I decided to go with option (c) and focus on the extraction and preparation of data, as this is a useful skill that can be applied to other projects. The incremental and iterative nature of the project – solving many small problems before being able to tackle a big question – is also reflective of the reality of development projects.

## **New project scope**

The goal of this software is to take acoustic measurements from audio files and present it in a format that can be used for multiple analyses. The acoustic measurements will be taken at times specified in a text file.

Figure 1. *High level description of the input/output structure of the programme*:
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## **How to find the data and code for this project**

All the code and necessary data to run it have been uploaded to GitHub and can be found [here](https://github.com/julietaloiz/qmul-project). This repository contains:

* The following Jupyter Notebooks:
* Session 2, the first coding session for this project.
* Session 4, functions to iterate through directories.
* Final Notebook, containing the final code.
* The following folders:
* Smile, containing *wav* and *lab* folders with audio and text files respectively for smiled sentences. Each subfolder contains 20 files, as I did not have permission from the owners to share the full data from the corpus.
* Neutral, same as *smile* but with neutral phrases.

## **Development diary**

### Session 1

This session was mainly dedicated to mapping out the structure of my programme. This was done mostly on paper.

As explained above, I was working with data collected during previous research on smiled speech. Dr. El Haddad from the University of Mons (Belgium) shared with me [AmuS](https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1414238/FULLTEXT01.pdf), a corpus of amused speech. The data was organised in folders in the following way:

1. *How data was shared with me*

My working directory

* Smile
  + Wav
    - Audio001.wav
    - Audio002.wav
    - Etc.
  + Lab
    - Text001.lab
    - Text002.lab
    - Etc.
* Neutral
  + Wav
    - Audio001.wav
    - Audio002.wav
    - Etc.
  + Lab
    - Text001.lab
    - Text002.lab
    - Etc.

There were four pairs of smile/neutral folders, one for each speaker. Each audio file contains a short sentence. The corresponding text file contains timestamps for the beginning and the end of each phoneme (sound). See (4) for an example of a text file:

1. *Extract from “SpkBeng\_0001.lab”*

0 2400000 sil

2400000 3500000 sil

3500000 4900000 ao

4900000 6100000 th

6100000 7700000 er

7700000 8000000 ah

8000000 8300000 v

8300000 9100000 dh

9100000 9600000 ax

Given the amount of data I had available, I decided to reduce the scope of this project to only one of the speakers in the corpus. That is, one pair of smile/neutral folders. I broke down the project into three parts:

1. *Parts of this project*

* Work on ONE file: Extract information from one text file (.lab) into Python. Take acoustic measurements from corresponding audio file (.wav).
* Collect all filenames: Iterate through directories to collect a complete list of all files in text-audio pairs.
* Iterate through list of files taking measurements of each one and building a final database.

The ultimate goal was to develop a programme that could create and populate a database such as:

1. *Goal database*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Phoneme | Initial\_time | End\_time | Duration | F0 | F1 | F2 | F3 | Label | Audio\_name |
| X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| z |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### Session 2

In this session I started coding. I started a Jupyter Notebook and wrote at the top the provisional steps I thought I would follow (7). My main goal for this session was to accomplish the first part of the project (5): process a text file and take measurements from one audio file.

1. *Provisional steps at the beginning of Session 2*
2. Create csv file for one directory (smile/neutral)
3. Read txt file and extract timestamps, phonemes, labels
4. Populate database
5. Define functions that measures formants and populates database
6. Iterate through database
7. Test with next directory
8. Visualise the data (optional)

I researched libraries and ended up choosing *csv* for the initial data wrangling, *Pandas* for the final data output, and *Parselmouth* for the acoustic measurements. Parselmouth allows you call functions from *Praat* directly from Python without having to install Praat. Praat is a popular acoustic analysis programme. After reading documentation on both Parselmouth and Praat, I got a finished result that achieved my goal for the day, albeit not in a streamlined or efficient way. The resulting code can be seen in the “Session 2” notebook.

During this session, a common error I was coming across was the following:

Figure 2: *Common error during session 2*
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This happened because I was constantly updating the variable smile\_db. Sometimes, I forgot to do so and would be trying to run code on a previous version of the dataframe which had more rows than the current version. I would get this error when trying to iterate through indices that were no longer there. I corrected this by being more mindful of altering the original data frame.

### Session 3

In session 3 I started a new notebook, where I used the code from the previous session to define functions that organised my programme in a better way. I decided to not turn my data into data frames until the end, as I found working with csv files easier.

I broke down my code into smaller steps and tried writing code that was more “readable” and better documented. This notebook ended up being the main notebook, where I would add the next steps. See “Final notebook” for the resulting code.

### Session 4

In session 4, I moved on to the next step of my project (5), iterating through the directories and collecting all file names. This was the most straightforward part of this project, as I am familiar with working with dictionaries. The results of this session can be seen in the “Session 4” notebook.

### Session 5

In this session, I moved the code from the previous session to my main notebook and defined the last few functions that would create the desired resulting output: a single data frame and csv file that contains all measurements for all audio files for the speaker. See “Final Notebook”

### Session 6

I created a GitHub account and uploaded all notebooks, data folders and this written report for easy access.

## **Results and Bugs**

In general, this project involved a lot of research as the data manipulations were more complex that I was used to. However, by end the end of the project I got familiar with the basic methods of the libraries I used.

In the end, the resulting programme only partially delivers on the desired goal. The following is a list of improvements needed to achieve this goal fully:

* Deleting consonants and silences

Step 2.2 cleans the data extracted from the text files to only keep vowels. This is important because we are measuring Pitch and Formants, two features that can only be measured in vowels. Trying to measure these features during silences and consonant will result in invalid measurements. In the example included in the notebook, we can see several rows where the value for the column “Phoneme” is a consonant or a silence (“sil”). While step 2.2 should have taken care if this, it did not perform in the expected way.

* Concatenating all Data Frames

The previous bug results in an error when trying to concatenate all data frames for the speaker. While I have tested get\_neutral(), get\_smile(), and speaker\_data() independently, I have not been able to test that they all work together to create the final database.

* Running speed

This programme is iterating multiple times through large datasets. While it seems to work generally, it takes a long time for the programme to run. I believe it could be done in a more efficient way with more advanced coding. This would be especially relevant if trying to use this programme to analyse data from several speakers. Improved efficiency would mean this programme can be used to analyse speaker data in bulk and obtain enough data to train AI models and more.